UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,929	08/18/2003	Kenji Sakai	1010.2.1	3856
71341 KIRTON & MO	7590 03/17/200 CCONKIE	EXAMINER		
60 EAST SOUT SUITE 1800			GOUDREAU, GEORGE A	
	TTY, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/642,929	SAKAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George A. Goudreau	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	/ IO OFT TO EVEIDE - MONTH!	0) 00 THET (00) BAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 O	ctober 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,6-10 and 25</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6-10</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the B	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d				
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment/c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/642,929 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10-26-07' has been entered.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied in paragraph 4 of the previous office action on the merits.
- 5. Claims 2-4, and 6-10 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,929 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

6. Applicant's arguments filed 10-26-07' have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Applicant states that they have amended previously presented claim 25 to be in

independent form which means that this claim is now allowable over the prior art

of record.

The examiner must disagree.

The scope of newly presented claim 25 is broader than previously presented

claim 25 since it is not limited to a process in which the third cmp slurry must

contain an acid. Rather, newly presented claim 25 reads on processes in which

the third cmp slurry contains an alkali material such as ammonium hydroxide

instead of an acid.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner

George A. Goudreau at telephone number 571-272-1434.

/George A. Goudreau/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/642,929	SAKAI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Goorge A. Goudroou	1702		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20080303